UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,157	08/18/2006	Wolfgang Jobmann	D4695-00155	1708
	7590	EXAMINER		
IP DEPARTMENT			RESTIFO, JEFFREY J	
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/598,157	JOBMANN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeffrey J. Restifo	3618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Feee</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine  10) The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2006 is/are:	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/22/06, 2/9/07.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3618

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The headings are missing.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because line 1 recites "The invention relates to" which can be implied and removed. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

3.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 2, 9, 10, 13-15, 18, 23, and 24 all recite the terms "preferably" and "in particular" which are indefinite and should be removed.

Claims 21 and 22 recite "the rotor" which lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 22 uses parentheses in lines 4-5, which should be removed.

Claims 8 and 10 recite "the diversion point" and "the bend point", respectively, which lack antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 3618

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-17 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Laing (US 3,276,415 A).
- 5. Laing discloses a propulsion device for a naval craft comprising a rotating, horizontal cylinder or rotor 6 with end plates 11, fluid flow (arrows), channels 38 having a forward, upward diversion point of 90 degrees, baffle plate 20, as shown in figures 1-13. With respect to the additional propulsion system, the propulsion system of Laing is capable of being used with another system and since the additional system is not positively recited, little patentable weight has been given to the additional propulsion system.
- 6. With respect to claims 4-7, the diversion of the flow goes up 90 degrees and back down, as shown in figure 3 and laterally as shown in figure 11.
- 7. With respect to claims 8 and 9, it is unclear as to which center of the channel the applicant is referring to, however Laing does disclose the cylinder as being centered laterally in figure 7 and offset in figure 11.
- 8. With respect to claims 11 and 12, Laing discloses a single rectangular channel in figure 7 and a pair of elliptical channels in fig. 9.

Art Unit: 3618

9. With respect to claims 13, the vehicle is an intended use and therefore has been given little patentable weight.

10. With respect to claims 14, 15, 23, and 24, the speed of the vehicle has been given little patentable weight because the vehicle is an intended use.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laing, as applied to claims 1 and 2 above.

Laing does not disclose a second propulsion system. The use of sails and paddles is well-known in the art of boats for propulsion. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the naval craft of Laing with a wind sail or manual paddles in order to provide a secondary propulsion means as is well-known in the art.

With respect to claim 20, the propulsion device capable of flowing air through if out of the water, even if not recommended.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 3618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey J. Restifo whose telephone number is (571) 272-6697. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis can be reached on (571) 272-6914. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeffrey J Restifo Primary Examiner Art Unit 3618

/Jeffrey J Restifo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3618